Measuring the Impact of Assessment Interventions



Table 3: Considering Impact

REACH: To what extent did your intervention reach your intended audience of lecturers and students?

Outcomes: Performance; skills development; products

What was significant about what you did? What was the scale of the difference it made? Was it worth doing? What were the unintended outcomes (positive and negative)?

Student Learning Outcomes

Prompts	How would you measure this?
What were the impacts on students' learning	
outcomes?	
Did it narrow gaps in attainment between	
more and less advantaged students?	
Did all students benefit equally?	
• Did those who were more engaged do better	
than those who did not?	
Did students produce high quality	
outputs/products?	
Impact on Behaviours/Beliefs	
How did your intervention impact student:	
 beliefs about their role in assessment 	
confidence	
 engagement in assessment 	
 understanding of assessment requirements 	
 ability to use, seek and give feedback 	
wellbeing	
completion rate	
Student Satisfaction	
Did your intervention enhance satisfaction?	
Were any groups less satisfied than others? (socio	
s- economic status; age; ethnicity; gender; sex;	
mode of study etc.)	

ASSESSMENT DESIGN / Performance:		
Was assessment design improved as a consequence		
Prompts	How would you measure this?	
 Higher quality assessment design coherence consistency in quality clearer progression more manageable assessment greater focus on meaningful assessment >authenticity of assessment – and relevance. Embedded reasonable adjustments less bureaucracy greater transparency increased partnership between students and lecturers More emphasis on student opportunities to test their understanding of quality for themselves – embedded peer and self- 		
assessment.		
 lecturer competency in assessment shared understandings of quality engagement in training impact on lecturer conceptions of assessment and the role of students in the process lecturer confidence lecturer assessment literacy lecturer collaboration shared understandings of quality 		
Impacts on policy		
 Institutional Sector – impacts across other HEIs Cross Sector – impacts across different sectors, disciplines, professions Government Policy International reach of approaches used 		

Sustainability: longer term gains		
What have you implemented that has become part of business as usual – will it be		
maintained? Student skill development beyond immediate assessment task; retention and		
development of new understandings; ongoing collaborations etc.		
Prompts	How would you measure this?	
 Are changes you made now embedded within curriculum? Have the gains made by students and lecturers been sustained beyond the immediate module/time of intervention? What effective assessment networks have you developed? Changes in attitudes? Upskilling of lecturers? More efficient use of resource? 		
Transferability: Extent to which the ideas translate/are applicable across contexts		
(programmes, disciplines, institutions, internationally).		
Were there any subject specific findings that have relevance to the sector? How can learning		
be adapted and utilized elsewhere? What are the k	ey messages/learning from this work	
 Quality of links – partnerships 		
Reach of work across the sector		
What personal learning do you take away from the project?		
Reflexivity: Ability to step outside of one's immediate context to see things objectively.		
Critical reflection – being able to view things from different perspectives and critique		
objectively based on an informed positioning.	1	
 What have you learnt personally from engaging in developing assessment practice? What would you have done differently in retrospect? 		
 What could be done better? How would you refine what you have done? What are the key learning points you would share with colleagues? 		